

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,501	12/06/2001	Paul R. Dodge	22130.0003U2	5337
35856 7:	590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
SMITH FROI	HWEIN TEMPEL GREE	FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
P.O. BOX 88148 ATLANTA, GA 30356			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, O	A 30330	30330	3746	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{I}	0
\checkmark	٢
U	1_

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/008,501	DODGE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Charles G. Freay	3746	

Advisory Addion	10/000,007	505022.7.2.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles G. Freay	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.1) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
		impliant / infondmone	(1.02.02.1).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered because of the considered becau	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	· ,	Charles & Freay Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3746				
	•		\rightarrow			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims would require a new declaration including a statement such as "all errors being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of the filing of the oath or declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant" (see 37 CFR 1.175(a) (2)). If the proposed amendment to claim 1 were entered a new rejection of the claims based upon the declaration would be required. If the proposed amendment to claim 1 were presented with a declaration including the above statement were presented together they would be favorably considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the applicant's arguments that the preliminary amendment filed with the original Reissue Application Declaration sets forth specifically the error being corrected, the examiner notes that the Reissue Declaration must specifically set forth the error being corrected.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Power of Attorneys filed October 6, 2005 have been accepted. The proposed drawings received October 6, 2005 are correct. If the same drawings were submitted along with a declaration including the statement noted above in item 3 the drawings would be favorably considered.